organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xingqiang Lü,^a Beisheng Kang^a and Seik Weng Ng^{b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.060 wR factor = 0.196 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

5,5'-Oxybis[2-(phthalimido)acetic acid] N,N-dimethylformamide disolvate

The two N-pthaloylglycine moieties of the title compound, $C_{20}H_{12}N_2O_9 \cdot 2C_3H_7NO$, are connected through an ether linkage, which apparently has sp^2 character [O-C = 1.376 (3) and 1.392 (3) Å; $C-O-C = 120.3 (2)^{\circ}$]. The planar *N*-phthaloyl units are inclined to each other by $65.2 (1)^{\circ}$.

Comment

Amino acids readily participate in condensation reactions with phthalic anhydride to yield N-phthaloylaminoacids, crystalline compounds that can be identified by their melting points. *N*-Phthaloylglycine is synthesized by merely melting glycine with phthalic anhydride (Furniss et al., 1978) and the yellow 3-nitro derivative can be obtained in a similar way (Ng et al., 1991). These protected amino acids have been condensed with triorganotin hydroxide/hemioxide to afford biologically active triorganotin N-phthaloylaminoacetates (Lo et al., 1997; Ng & Kumar Das, 1997; Ng et al., 1990, 1994; Ovsetsina et al., 1993).



The synthesis of the title compound, (I), required the use of DMF as solvent, which was incorporated into the crystal structure. The two N-phthaloylglcinate moieties are linked by the ether atom O5, which displays some sp^2 character [O5-C7 = 1.376 (3) Å, O5-C11 = 1.392 (3) Å and C7-O5-C1 = $120.3 (2)^{\circ}$ (Fig. 1). The N-phthaloyl units are planar, and are inclined to each other by $65.2 (1)^\circ$. Unlike a large number of carboxylic acids that are usually hydrogen bonded to each other in the crystal structure, in the title compound, each of the two carboxylic acid groups interacts with a DMF molecule through a short hydrogen bond $[O1 \cdot \cdot \cdot O10 = 2.607 (4) \text{ Å and}$ $O9 \cdots O11 = 2.57$ (1) Å (the latter distance represents an average over distances involving two disordered components)].

Experimental

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

The title compound was prepared by the condensation of 4,4'-oxydiphthalic anhydride (3.1 g, 10 mmol), a commercially available Received 19 November 2003 Accepted 8 December 2003 Online 19 December 2003



Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. The minor disordered component is indicated by primed atom labels and broken-line ellipsoids.

chemical, and glycine (1.5 g, 20 mmol) in DMF (30 ml). The solution was heated under reflux for 5 h. A solid product that separated on cooling was collected by filtration in 70% yield. The pure product was obtained by recystallization from a DMF/water (20 ml/5 ml) mixture.

Table 1

0

O1-C1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

1.278 (4)

C3-C4

1.471 (4)

obtained by recystanization from a DWI /water (20 m/5 m) mixture.		02-C1	1.200 (4)	4-65	1.378 (4)
		O3-C3	1.209 (3)	C4-C9	1.389 (3)
		O4-C10	1.210 (3)	C5-C6	1.374 (4)
		O5-C7	1.376 (3)	C6-C7	1.385 (4)
		O5-C11	1.392 (3)	C7-C8	1.394 (4)
Crustal data		O6-C17	1.204 (3)	C8-C9	1.369 (4)
Crystal adda		O7-C18	1.199 (3)	C9-C10	1.485 (4)
$C_{20}H_{12}N_2O_9 \cdot 2C_3H_7NO$	Z = 2	O8-C20	1.190 (4)	C11-C16	1.375 (4)
$M_r = 570.51$	$D_x = 1.365 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	O9-C20	1.294 (4)	C11-C12	1.383 (4)
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	N1-C2	1.442 (4)	C12-C13	1.376 (4)
a = 8.357(1) Å	Cell parameters from 805	N1-C3	1.390 (3)	C13-C14	1.369 (4)
b = 11.122.(2) Å	reflections	N1-C10	1.383 (3)	C14-C15	1.384 (4)
c = 15.044(2) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 23.6^{\circ}$	N2-C17	1.390 (4)	C14-C17	1.480 (4)
$\alpha = 93.053(2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	N2-C18	1.398 (4)	C15-C16	1.375 (4)
$\beta = 96.081(2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}$ T = 208 (2) K	N2-C19	1.442 (4)	C15-C18	1.483 (4)
p = 90.001 (2) $y = 90.801 (2)^{\circ}$	I = 200 (2) K	C1-C2	1.499 (4)	C19-C20	1.514 (5)
$\gamma = 50.851 (2)$ $V = 1388.2 (2) Å^3$	$0.50 \times 0.20 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$				
V = 1500.2(5) R	0.50 × 0.59 × 0.14 IIIII	C7 - 05 - C11	120.3(2)	O4 - C10 - N1	1245(2)
		$C_{10} = N_1 = C_2$	120.5(2) 121.6(2)	04 - C10 - C9	1297(3)
		$C_{10} = N_1 = C_3$	112.2(2)	N1 - C10 - C9	105.8(2)
		$C_2 - N_1 - C_3$	125.4(2)	$C_{12} - C_{11} - O_{5}$	120.7(3)
		$C_{17} - N_{2} - C_{18}$	112.0 (2)	C16 - C11 - O5	116.5 (2)
Data collection		$C_{17} - N_{2} - C_{19}$	124.7(2)	$C_{12} - C_{11} - C_{16}$	122.6 (2)
Bruker SMART area-detector	3216 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$	$C_{18} - N_{2} - C_{19}$	122.5(3)	$C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{13}$	120.2(3)
diffractometer	$B_{\rm ex} = 0.028$	01 - C1 - O2	124.4(3)	$C_{12} - C_{13} - C_{14}$	117.8 (3)
and a scans	$A_{\text{int}} = 0.020$ $A_{\text{int}} = 27.1^{\circ}$	01 - C1 - C2	113.9 (3)	C13-C14-C15	121.4(2)
Absorption correction: none	$b_{\text{max}} = 27.1$ $b_{\text{max}} = 10 \times 10$	O2 - C1 - C2	121.7 (3)	C13-C14-C17	130.3 (2)
11580 managered reflections	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$	N1 - C2 - C1	110.1(2)	C15-C14-C17	108.3(2)
5027 in demondent reflections	$K = -14 \rightarrow 14$	O3-C3-N1	124.3 (3)	C14-C15-C16	121.6 (3)
3937 independent reflections	$l = -19 \rightarrow 18$	O3-C3-C4	129.6 (3)	C14-C15-C18	108.2 (2)
D 4		N1-C3-C4	106.0(2)	C16-C15-C18	130.2 (3)
		C3-C4-C5	131.5 (2)	C11-C16-C15	116.4 (2)
		C3-C4-C9	108.2 (2)	O6-C17-N2	124.6 (3)
		C5-C4-C9	120.3 (2)	O6-C17-C14	129.5 (3)
Refinement		C4-C5-C6	118.4 (2)	N2-C17-C14	105.9 (2)
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F^2) + (0.1001P)^2]$	C5-C6-C7	120.6 (2)	O7-C18-N2	124.4 (3)
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.060$	$w = n[0, (1_0) + (0.10011)]$ + 0.3122 <i>P</i>]	O5-C7-C6	115.2 (2)	O7-C18-C15	130.0 (3)
R[I > 20(I)] = 0.000 $wP(F^2) = 0.106$	+ 0.5122I] where $P = (E^2 + 2E^2)/3$	O5-C7-C8	122.7 (2)	N2-C18-C15	105.6 (2)
WR(I') = 0.190 S = 1.00	where $T = (T_o + 2T_c)/3$ (A/ σ) = 0.001	C6-C7-C8	121.9 (2)	N2-C19-C20	110.1 (3)
S = 1.00	$(\Delta/0)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$	C7-C8-C9	116.3 (2)	O8-C20-O9	126.1 (4)
207 momentan	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.45 \text{ e A}$	C4-C9-C8	122.5 (2)	O8-C20-C19	123.8 (3)
59/ parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e \ A}$	C4-C9-C10	107.8 (2)	O9-C20-C19	110.1 (3)
H atoms treated by a mixture of		C8-C9-C10	129.7 (2)	O10-C21-N3	124.7 (5)
independent and constrained					
rennement					

One of the two DMF molecules is disordered over two positions; since the occupancies could not be refined, the disorder was treated as a 50/50 disorder. The displacement parameters of the unprimed and primed atoms (Fig. 1) were kept equal; additionally, pairs of bonds were restrained to be approximately equal. The displacement parameter of the carboxyl O9 atom that is engaged in hydrogen bonding with this DMF (O11, N4, C24, C25, C26) was restrained to be approximately isotropic. The displacement parameters of the atoms of the disordered DMF were similarly restrained.

The H atoms were placed at calculated positions (C—H = 0.93 Å for the aromatic H atoms, C—H = 0.97 Å for the methylene H atoms and 0.96 Å for the methyl H atoms) and refined in the riding model approximation. The two carboxylic acid H atoms were located and refined with the O—H bonds restrained to 0.85 (1) Å. The displacement parameters of the H atoms were set at 1.2 times U_{eq} of the parent atoms, except for the methyl H atoms for which the ratio was 1.5.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, Sun Yat-Sen University and and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

References

- Bruker (1999). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Furniss, B. S., Hannaford, A. J., Smith, P. W. G. & Tatchell, A. R. (1978). Vogel's Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry, 4th ed. London: Longman.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Lo, K. M., Ng, S. W. & Kumar Das, V. G. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 545-546.
- Ng, S. W., Chen, W., Kumar Das, V. G., Wang, R. J. & Mak, T. C. W. (1991). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 21, 375–377.
- Ng, S. W. & Kumar Das, V. G. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 546-548.
- Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G., Pelizzi, G. & Vitali, F. (1990). *Heteroatom. Chem.* 1, 433–438.
- Ng, S. W., Kuthubutheen, A. J., Kumar Das, V. G., Linden, A. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1994). *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **8**, 37–42.
- Ovsetsina, T. I., Furmanova, N. G., Chuprunov, E. V. & Shcherbakov, V. I. (1993). Crystallogr. Rep. 38, 36–39.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.